



OPEN ACCESS

Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research Editorial Policy Update: Pre-registration of submissions based on primary data

Jesus Barreiro-Hurlé¹

European Commission. Joint Research Centre (JRC). Edificio EXPO. C/Inca Garcilaso s/n. 41092 Seville, Spain

Abstract

As of January 2022, the Agricultural Economics Section of the Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research encourages authors using primary data on their submissions to pre-register their analysis. This article explains the reasons that have led the Editorial Board to include this requirement.

Additional key words: open science: pre-registration

Citation: Barreiro-Hurlé, J (2021). Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research Editorial Policy Update: Pre-registration of submissions based on primary data. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research, Volume 19, Issue 4, e01105. https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2021194-18956 Received: 03 Aug 2021. Accepted: 08 Nov 2021.

Copyright © 2021 INIA. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC-by 4.0) License.

Correspondence should be addressed to Jesus Barreiro-Hurlé: jesus.barreirohurle@gmail.com

Following the experience of other fields of science economics has been subject to a heated debate on the issue of replicability, selective reporting and publication bias (Camerer *et al.*, 2016; Nosek *et al.*, 2018; Kvarven *et al.*, 2020). One of the recommendations put forward to improve research efficiency and robustness of scientific findings by directly targeting specific threats to reproducible science is that of pre-registration (Munafò *et al.*, 2017). Pre-registration of study protocols in its simplest form may simply comprise the registration of the basic study design, but it can also include a detailed pre-specification of the study procedures, outcomes and statistical analysis plan.

While pre-registration can take multiple forms depending on the degree of details providing when pre-registering (Bakker *et al.*, 2020), I believe that at least the most basic characteristics of a study should be pre-registered to ensure research transparency. As Olken (2015) states, virtually all pre-analysis plans typically share a few common features including the primary outcome variable, eventual secondary outcome variables, variable definitions, inclusion and exclusion rules, statistical model specification, covariates, sub-group analysis and other relevant aspects of the design and analysis.

There is a growing number of platforms where researchers can per-register their work at no cost such as AsPredicted² (funded by the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania) or the Center for Open Science³. Pre-registration does not mean that the journal will only publish results that are pre-registered. As stated in the AsPredicted platform, there are ways to communicate deviations from this. Bakker et al. (2020) state preregistration does not imply that confirmatory analyses are good, and exploratory analyses are bad. The goal of preregistration is to be transparent and make clear which is which. Of particular interest, here is work such as that from Lin & Green (2016) providing guidance on how to deal with the impacts of pre-registration on unexpected results or how to handle the many things that can go wrong leading to model changes in the analysis phase.

While pre-registration has become widely accepted for analysis based on experimental methods where a deductive scientific approach based on hypothesis testing is used, it can help researchers doing any analysis using primary data as it makes them conscious of the data they need for the analysis they plan. Even for pure deductive and exploratory analysis, some steps of the research process could be pre-specified.

Jesus Barreiro-Hurlé is Section Editor in Agricultural Economics, Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research.

² Aspredicted.org

³ www.cos.io/initiatives/prereg

As argued by Miguel (2021), this move entails additional costs for researchers in terms of time costs and constrains in creativity and relevance (the latter being less relevant as nothing prevents from reporting un-registered results as long as they clearly labelled as so), but benefits for the advance of science have the capacity to outweigh these. Czibor et al. (2019) also are confident that the experience in limiting specification searching and bounding Type I errors from other fields can also be achieved in economics by embracing pre-registration. Last Logg & Dorison (2021) based on an survey of 248 active researchers in behavioural science conclude that, despite generally positive views of pre-registration, researchers are uncertain about potential costs and benefits to their own research process.

As an author, I have walked the talk (Dessart et al., 2021; Lefevbre et al., 2021) and my experience confirms that benefits clearly outweigh costs. Therefore, I believe that the time is ripe to take action updating the editorial policy of the Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research concerning pre-registration. As Logg & Dorison (2021) highlight, the adoption of open science can be seen as "a social dilemma; that is, weighing the benefits to the individual and to the field. If a handful of researchers pre-register and their colleagues refuse to do so, neither the field nor the individuals benefit. Widespread adoption is necessary to improve science" (page 26).

Thus, and in line with similar discussions happening in different European countries (e.g. Rommel & Weltin, 2020) and as part of its commitment to promote open and transparent science, the section of Agricultural Economics of SJAR updates it's instructions to authors including the following new item:

As of January 2022 the Agricultural Economics section of SJAR strongly encourages authors to pre-register in any of the available platforms (AsPredicted, Centre for Open Science) any analysis involving primary data collection. Pre-registration should happen before the data collection exercise starts. The pre-registration form should be submitted as supplementary material when preparing the submission. Submissions failing to meet this requirement will be considered but will need to make this explicit in their abstract and label their analysis as exploratory.

With this decision SJAR incorporates the concern with the issue of replicability, selective reporting and publication bias and believes, as Miguel (2021) states, that "even a relatively sparse pre-analysis plan....remains useful in addressing the most extreme forms of

selective reporting and data mining as well as publication bias" (page 206).

In principle, SJAR aims to make this requirement compulsory in the near future, meaning that failing to meet this requirement will lead to desk-rejection by the editorial board. However, before this decision is taken, the editorial committee analyse the impact of this measure based on the experience gained during the first year(s) since the introduction of this requirement.

References

Bakker M, Veldkamp CLS, van Assen MALM, Crompvoets EAV, Ong HH, Nosek BA *et al.*, 2020. Ensuring the quality and specificity of preregistrations. PLOS Biol 18(12): e3000937. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000937

Camerer CF, Dreber A, Forsell E, Ho TH, Huber J, Johannesson M *et al.*, 2016. Evaluating replicability of laboratory experiments in economics. Science 351(6280): 1433-1436. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf0918

Czibor E, Jimenez-Gomez D, List JA, 2019. The dozen things experimental economists should do (more of). Working Paper 25451, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA, USA. https://doi.org/10.3386/w25451

Dessart FJ, Rommel J, Barreiro-Hurlé J, Thomas F, Rodríguez-Entrena M, Espinosa-Goded M *et al.*, 2021. Farmers and the new green architecture of the Common Agricultural Policy: A behavioural experiment. JRC Science for Policy Report, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.

Kvarven A, Strømland E, Johannesson M, 2020. Comparing meta-analyses and preregistered multiple-laboratory replication projects. Nature Hum Behav 4(4): 423-434. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-019-0787-z

Lefebvre M, Barreiro-Hurle J, Rommel J, 2021. Unnudgeable nudgers: An experiment on voluntary contribution to an academic network working on the CAP. J Agr Econ 72(2): 628-634. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12418

Lin W, Green, D, 2016. Standard operating procedures: A safety net for pre-analysis plans. PS: Polit Sci Polit 49(3): 495-500. https://doi.org/10.1017/S104909651600 0810

Logg JM, Dorison CA, 2021. Pre-registration: Weighing costs and benefits for researchers. Organ Behav Human Decis Proc 167: 18-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obhdp.2021.05.006

Miguel E, 2021. Evidence on research transparency in economics. J Econ Perspect 35(3): 193-214. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.35.3.193

Munafò MR, Nosek BA, Bishop DVM, Button KS, Chambers CD, Percie du Sert N *et al.*, 2017. A manifesto for reproducible science. Nature Hum Behav 1(1): 0021. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-016-0021

Nosek BA, Ebersole CR, DeHaven AC, Mellor DT, 2018. The preregistration revolution. Proc Nat Acad

Sci USA 115(11): 2600-2606. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708274114

Olken BA, 2015. Promises and perils of pre-analysis plans. J Econ Perspect 29(3): 61-80. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.29.3.61

Rommel J, Weltin M, 2020. Is there a cult of statistical significance in agricultural economics? Appl Econ Perspect Policy 43 (3): 1176-1191. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13050