## Seasonal characteristics of CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in a rain-fed wheat field ecosystem at the Loess Plateau

Q. Guo, W. W. Li, D. D. Liu, W. Wu, Y. Liu, X. X. Wen and Y. C. Liao\*

College of Agronomy. Northwest A&F University. Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China

#### Abstract

This study investigated the dynamics of CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a rain-fed wheat field ecosystem using an eddy covariance technique during the 2011 to 2012 wheat-growing season at the Loess Plateau, China. Results showed that the daily CO<sub>2</sub> flux was closely related to photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), growth stage, soil temperature and rainfall. The average CO<sub>2</sub> flux at different growth stages followed the order jointing and booting > erecting > reviving > heading > wintering > seeding and tillering > grain filling > ripening. The first four stages were carbon sinks, whereas the last four stages were carbon sources. The relationship between nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> flux and air temperature was significant and fitted the index model ( $y = ae^{bt}$ ). The relationship between daytime CO<sub>2</sub> flux and PAR was also significant and fitted the quadratic model ( $y = ax^2 + bx + c$ ). Moreover, daytime CO<sub>2</sub> flux was significantly correlated with air temperature and PAR at the erecting, jointing and booting, and heading stages. Nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> flux was also significantly correlated with soil temperature at 5 cm depth at the heading as well as jointing and booting stages. The carbon budget in the rain-fed wheat ecosystem was -401 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which was higher than those in other wheat ecosystems. This study implies that the ability of carbon-sequestration in different wheat field ecosystems may respond differently to climate and environment change.

Additional key words: CO<sub>2</sub> flux; dynamic change; eddy covariance; rain-fed wheat field ecosystem; *Triticum aestivum* L.

## Introduction

Global warming is an environmental problem primarily caused by increasing greenhouse gas concentrations (Shvaleva *et al.*, 2011). The atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration before the industrial revolution was 280 umol mol<sup>-1</sup> but is currently 370 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and increases at a rate of 1 to 2 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> every year (Genthon et al., 1987). In the past 20 years, land use has changed through human activities, thereby producing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions accounting for 10%-30% (Zhan et al., 2012). Thus, changes in the carbon cycle in terrestrial ecosystems are receiving increased attention from scientists and policymakers (IPCC, 2001). Many studies have focused on the net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in forest ecosystems possibly because forests can sequester high carbon levels (Pacala et al., 2001). However, less attention is paid to the net ecosystem CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) field ecosystems even though

\* Corresponding author: yunchengliao@163.com Received: 16-04-13. Accepted: 12-11-13.

Abbreviations used: PAR (photosynthetically active radiation).

these ecosystems comprise approximately 33% of the grain crops worldwide (Gu & Zha, 2013).

Long-term disruptive human activities have degraded 78% of cultivated soil productivity and soil carbon pool in China. If 50% of soil organic carbon loss in Chinese farmlands can be recovered in 20 years, farmland soil would absorb  $3.5 \cdot 10^{12}$  kg of atmospheric organic carbon. Accurate assessment of the status of carbon sequestration in farmland under conventional tillage can provide a theoretical basis for measures of reducing carbon emission.

Farmland ecosystem is the important component of the terrestrial ecosystem, and it is extremely related to mankind. Lal & Bruce (1999) found that 20% of  $CO_2$ in the atmosphere came from agricultural activities and related processes. Lal (1997) also found that total carbon sequestration potential of farmland globally was 0.75-1.00 Pg C yr<sup>-1</sup>, which implies that farmland ecosystems occupy a significant carbon sink on the earth. Although winter wheat is one of the main crops worldwide, 58% of this crop is produced under rain-fed conditions (Wang *et al.*, 2010). With the serious problem caused by climate warming and subsequent decreasing precipitation globally, the production area of rain-fed wheat will be increasing (Bai & Lin, 2003). It is then essential to evaluate the carbon budget of rain-fed wheat in agricultural ecosystems.

Numerous uncertainties exist regarding the role of wheat ecosystems in the global carbon budget, and the factors affecting CO<sub>2</sub> flux dynamics vary among wheat ecosystems under different climatic conditions and management practices (Wang et al., 2003). Studies have shown that some wheat ecosystems are significant CO<sub>2</sub> sinks or sources (Li et al., 2007; Lin et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010). However, many wheat ecosystems show a high inter-annual change in annual CO<sub>2</sub> flux (Li et al., 2005). Studies have shown that the time and the amount of annual precipitation are the dominant factors affecting CO<sub>2</sub> exchange in semi-arid wheat fields (Peter et al., 2004). Temperate wheat ecosystems also exhibit different responses to inter-annual variations in precipitation because these ecosystems are drier and more water stressed than many other ecosystems and are also ecologically fragile and sensitive to climate change (Li et al., 2004; Niu et al., 2008). However, studies regarding CO<sub>2</sub> flux in rain-fed wheat field ecosystems are mainly focused on particular crop growth stages (Mo et al., 2003; Duan et al., 2005) rather than on whole crop growth stage. By introducing an eddy covariance system, we analysed the dynamic change of CO<sub>2</sub> flux and its mainly related factors during the winter wheat growth stage in a rain-fed ecosystem. Present study can provide information and theory basis to understand carbon budget situation and how to improve the carbon accumulation ability of farmland ecosystem in similar environments in the world. Thus, present study aims to determine the variations of CO<sub>2</sub> flux during the winter wheat growth stage and its key factors in a rain-fed wheat ecosystem.

## Material and methods

#### Site description

The experiment was conducted between 2011 and 2012 over the wheat field ecosystem at the Changwu Agriculture Research Centre in Shaanxi Province, China. The site is located at  $107^{\circ} 40$ ' E  $35^{\circ} 12$ ' N at an

altitude of 1,200 m above sea level. The annual rainfall at the site is 586 mm, with a minimum of 296 mm and a maximum of 594 mm, approximately 60% of the total annual rainfall occurs between July and October. The annual mean temperature is 9.9°C, with an annual total of 2,230 h of sunshine duration and an annual no-frost period lasting 171 days. The soil in the top 1.0 m is Eum-Orthrosols (Chinese soil taxonomy), with a mean bulk density of 1.30 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), and potassium (K) are 37.90, 10.12, and 129.64 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The organic matter content and pH of the 0 to 20 cm soil layer are 9.19 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 7.30, respectively. The study area that is 1 km around the flux tower is fairly open and flat with < 1% slope, characteristic required for the experiment.

#### **Experimental design**

The experiment, which was conducted from September 2011 to June 2012, used a stubble cultivation system and winter wheat as the crop (Chang Wu 131). Weeds were artificially removed during crop growth, and crops were harvested once mature. All aboveground parts were removed. During the fallow period, the soil was loosened by tractor ploughing (20 cm) to conserve water. The soil was tractor ploughed (20 cm) again before sowing. A seedbed was prepared for the next stubble. Before sowing, 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as urea and 120 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as superphosphate. Wheat was harvested on June 23, 2011. The first tractor ploughing was conducted on July 18, 2011. Fertilisation and sowing were then performed on September 16 and 17, 2011. The crops were harvested on June 30, 2012. The wheat growth stage division and startstop time are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Division of winter wheat growth stages in Chang-wu from 2011 to 2012

| Growth stage          | Date<br>(month.day) | Time<br>(days) |  |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Seeding and tillering | 9.17-11.10          | 55             |  |
| Wintering             | 11.11-3.16          | 126            |  |
| Reviving              | 3.17-4.8            | 22             |  |
| Erecting              | 4.9-4.28            | 20             |  |
| Jointing              | 4.29-5.12           | 14             |  |
| Booting               | 5.13-5.26           | 14             |  |
| Heading               | 5.27-6.9            | 14             |  |
| Grain filling         | 6.10-6.26           | 17             |  |
| Ripening              | 6.27-6.30           | 4              |  |
|                       |                     |                |  |

#### Measurements

The eddy covariance system was used to measure  $CO_2$  flux over the wheat field ecosystem at the height of 2 m using a three-dimensional sonic anemometer (Model CSAT-3, Campbell Sci., Logan, UT, USA) and a  $CO_2$  analyser (Model Li-7500, Li-Cor Inc., NE, USA). The data of  $CO_2$  flux were recorded with a datalogger (CR5000, Campbell Sci.) at 30 min intervals.

The meteorological conditions, including photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), were measured by a quantum sensor (PAR-LITE, Kipp and Zone, the Netherlands). Air temperature and relative humidity were measured using shielded and aspirated probes (Model HMP45C, Campbell Sci.) at 2.5 m height. Precipitation was measured with a rain gauge (Model 107-L, Campbell Sci.) installed on top of the tower 2 m above the ground. Soil temperature was measured at a depth of 5cm below the ground using thermometers (Model 107-L, Campbell Sci.). All aforementioned meteorological conditions were recorded with a CR23X (Model CR23XTD, Campbell Sci.) at 30 min intervals.

#### **Data processing**

Flux data were analysed based on 30 min mean values of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration collected from September 2011 to June 2012. The relevant meteorological conditions were also measured within the same period. CO<sub>2</sub> flux was counted by the covariance (w'c') of vertical wind speed (w) and the fluctuating value of  $CO_2$  concentration (c). Through three times of coordinate rotation (Wilczak et al., 2001) and the WPL method was applied to adjust density changes resulting from fluctuations in heat and water vapor (Webb et al., 1980), we obtained the average CO<sub>2</sub> flux every 30 min. According to the eddy correlation principle and the limitation of instruments, data were eliminated according based on the following situations: (1) during rainfall, (2) insufficient turbulence (friction velocity  $u^* < 0.15$  m  $s^{-1}$ ) (Falge *et al.*, 2001), (3) higher than the instrumental range and reasonable range of data (the effective range of CO<sub>2</sub> flux is -1.0 to 1.0 mg m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, and the effective range of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is 500 to 800 mg  $m^{-3}$ ) (Massman & Lee, 2002), (4) effective < 1,500 sample size every 30 min, and (5) prominent data (the absolute value of CO<sub>2</sub> flux minus the average of its five adjacent data more than three times the five data variance).

To obtain complete information on the annual sum of  $CO_2$ , we filled the small gaps (<2 h) with linear interpolations. For large nighttime gaps (>2 h), we used a soil temperature-dependent exponential equation (Falge *et al.*, 2001):

$$F_n = a \cdot \exp(b \cdot T_s)$$

where  $F_n$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> flux at PAR  $\leq 1.0 \mu$ mol,  $T_s$  is soil temperature at 5 cm depth, and *a* and *b* are the fitting constants obtained by the nonlinear least-squares method.

For large gaps (>2 h), we filled the data at daytime using a PAR-dependent equation (Falge *et al.*, 2001):

$$F_d = \frac{a_1 \times PAR}{a_2 + PAR} + a_0$$

where  $F_d$  is CO<sub>2</sub> flux at PAR > 1.0 µmol;  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ , and  $a_2$  are fitting constants obtained by the nonlinear least-squares method.

All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). ANOVA was conducted, and significant differences for all statistical tests were calculated at a least significant difference of 0.05. SigmaPlot 12.0 (Aspire Software International, Ashburn, VA, USA) was used for map drawing.

## Results

#### Seasonal environmental variables

Variations in the daily mean of environmental conditions at the experimental site from September 2011 to June 2012 are plotted in Fig. 1, including air relative humidity, soil temperature at 5 cm depth, air temperature, PAR, and precipitation. The climate conditions showed distinct seasonal variations because of the temperate continental monsoon climate. The total PAR throughout the entire wheat-growing season was 6,818.51 mol m<sup>-2</sup>. The maximum PAR was 57.8 mol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in summer, whereas the average PAR was 16.3 mol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> in winter. The illumination conditions were sufficient. Rainfall was mainly concentrated in October. Throughout the entire wheatgrowing season, the rainfall was 269.43 mm, the average air temperature was 7.59°C, and the average soil temperature at 5 cm depth was 8.98°C. Compared with air temperature, the changes in soil temperature showed hysteresis.



Figure 1. Daily meteorological factors.

#### Diurnal course of CO<sub>2</sub> flux variable

The CO<sub>2</sub> flux per day was calculated. Diurnal variations in CO<sub>2</sub> flux (shown as the arithmetic mean) per growth stage are shown in Fig. 2. According to the diurnal variations in CO<sub>2</sub> flux in each growth stage, in the seeding and tillering as well as wintering stages, the value of CO<sub>2</sub> flux was very small, with an order of magnitude of  $10^{-3}$ . In subsequent growth stages, CO<sub>2</sub> flux increased in daytime, reaching the maximum value until the jointing and booting stage. In the heading, grain-filling, and ripening stages, CO<sub>2</sub> flux subsequently decreased.

#### Seasonal changes in daily CO<sub>2</sub> budget

Daily CO<sub>2</sub> budget changed markedly at different growth stages due to the differences in temperature, radiation, rainfall and other environmental factors in the wheat field ecosystem (Fig. 3). The daily CO<sub>2</sub> budget ranged from -16.32 to 2.11 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> throughout the entire growth period. The seeding and tillering, wintering, grain-filling, and ripening stages were carbon sources, whereas the other growth stages were carbon sinks. Wintering was the weakest carbon source



with 0.12 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. Jointing and booting reached the maximum with -16.32 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 2. Daily CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a wheat field at each growth stage.



**Figure 3.** Daily  $CO_2$  budget at each growth stage: 1, seeding and tillering; 2, wintering; 3, reviving; 4, erecting; 5, jointing and booting; 6, heading; 7, grain-filling; 8, ripening.

#### Daily and annual CO<sub>2</sub> balance

Changes in daily CO<sub>2</sub> balance throughout the entire wheat growth period are shown in Fig. 4. At the seeding and tillering as well as wintering stages, the absolute value of CO<sub>2</sub> flux was very small and fluctuated around zero. One hundred and sixty days after sowing, the carbon budget sharply changed, resulting in a more remarkable CO<sub>2</sub> flux. At the jointing and booting stage, the wheat field ecosystem absorbed the daily maximum amount of carbon. After this stage, carbon absorption decreased until about 265 days after sowing (the mid- and late-grain-filling stages), and the stage became a carbon source. Throughout the entire growth period, the carbon budget significantly fluctuated after rainfall. The degree of fluctuations differed among the various growth stages. The late seeding and tillering, late heading, and early grain-filling stages showed the most intense fluctuations, but in the wintering stage were not obvious.

The CO<sub>2</sub> balance in this paper was lower and higher, respectively, than that in maize and other wheat ecosystems (Table 2). Table 2 shows that the changes in CO<sub>2</sub> flux in the winter wheat ecological system were quite large, ranging from -215 to -401 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Compa-



**Figure 4.** Daily CO<sub>2</sub> budget throughout the entire winter wheat growth period.

red with wheat, maize plants were taller and showed stronger carbon-sequestering abilities.

#### The effect of PAR on daytime CO<sub>2</sub> flux

The relationship between daytime  $CO_2$  flux and PAR fitted the quadratic function (p < 0.01) during the period from the seeding to ripening stage as suggested by Fig. 5. When PAR was low, the rate of net carbon sink increased. When PAR exceeded a certain value, the rate of net carbon sink decreased with increased PAR.



Figure 5. Effects of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on daytime  $CO_2$  flux.

| Гab | le 2. | Com | parison | of | carbon | uptake | in | different | farm | land | l ecosystems |
|-----|-------|-----|---------|----|--------|--------|----|-----------|------|------|--------------|
|-----|-------|-----|---------|----|--------|--------|----|-----------|------|------|--------------|

| Test<br>period | Test location      | Crop type    | NEE <sup>a</sup><br>(g C m <sup>-2</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> ) | Reference               |  |
|----------------|--------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| 1997           | IL, USA            | Maize        | -532  | Hollinger et al. (2005) |  |
| 2001           | Thuringia, Germany | Winter wheat | -215  | Anthoni et al. (2004)   |  |
| 2001           | NE, USA            | Maize        | -474  | Verma et al. (2005)     |  |
| 2007-2009      | Anhui, China       | Winter wheat | -273  | Ye et al. (2012)        |  |
| 2008           | Jinzhou, China     | Maize        | -653  | Liang et al. (2012)     |  |
| 2011-2012      | Changwu, China     | Winter wheat | -401  | This study              |  |

<sup>a</sup> NEE: net ecosystem exchange of CO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 6. Effects of air temperature on nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> flux. \*\*: significance at  $p \le 0.01$ , *t*-test.

# The effect of canopy temperature on nighttime CO<sub>2</sub> flux

Present experiment showed a positive and exponential relationship between ecosystem respiration and temperature within canopy (Fig. 6). The result suggests that the  $CO_2$  released from the rain-fed wheat field ecosystem increased with increasing soil temperature during nighttime.

#### Correlations between CO<sub>2</sub> flux and air temperature, PAR, soil temperature at 5 cm depth

The relationship between  $CO_2$  flux and air temperature reached a significant level at the erecting and heading stages (Table 3). The jointing and booting stage showed highly correlated levels. The wintering, reviving, and grain-filling stages showed a low correlation. The other two stages were almost uncorrelated. Correlation analysis showed that the relationship between daytime  $CO_2$  flux and PAR was almost not related under the seeding and tillering, wintering and ripening stages. Moreover, a low correlation was observed in the reviving and grain-filling stages, but significantly high levels were observed in the other growth stages. Nighttime  $CO_2$  flux and soil temperature at 5 cm depth reached a significant level at the jointing and booting as well as heading stages.

### Discussion

At the seeding and tillering stage, wheat plant was relatively small with loose soil and high temperature. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and release was approximately the same in daytime, so the  $CO_2$  flux was relatively low. At the wintering stage, the low temperature (-4 to 6°C) resulted in slow wheat growth and relatively low CO<sub>2</sub> emission through wheat respiration. However, the low temperature inhibited the activity of soil microbes and reduced soil CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Therefore, the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> released from soil was small. Low temperature is the main factor that limits wheat photosynthesis in winter (Wang et al., 2003). Thus, diurnal variations in CO<sub>2</sub> flux are relatively small at this stage. In subsequent growth stages, the value of daytime CO<sub>2</sub> flux increased, reaching the maximum until the jointing and booting stage but decreasing in the heading, grain-filling, and ripening stages. Given that the jointing and booting stage mainly occurs in May, the net photosynthetic rate of wheat reaches the maximum with increased PAR and air temperature (Qi et al., 2009). However, the  $CO_2$  consumed by photosynthesis is higher than the CO<sub>2</sub> released by plant and

Table 3. Coefficients of the correlation of  $CO_2$  flux with air temperature, PAR, and soil temperature at 5 cm depth

| Growth stage          | $R_1$ | $P_1$ | $R_2$ | <i>P</i> <sub>2</sub> | $R_3$ | <b>P</b> <sub>3</sub> |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| Seeding and tillering | 0.07  | *     | -0.04 | *                     | 0.13  | *                     |
| Wintering             | -0.14 | *     | -0.07 | *                     | 0.02  | *                     |
| Reviving              | -0.19 | *     | -0.12 | *                     | 0.14  | *                     |
| Erecting              | -0.26 | **    | -0.18 | **                    | 0.17  | *                     |
| Jointing and booting  | -0.34 | **    | -0.23 | **                    | 0.24  | **                    |
| Heading               | -0.21 | **    | -0.21 | **                    | 0.32  | **                    |
| Grain filling         | -0.14 | *     | -0.11 | *                     | 0.02  | *                     |
| Ripening              | -0.09 | *     | -0.07 | *                     | -0.01 | *                     |
|                       |       |       |       |                       |       |                       |

 $R_1$ : correlation coefficient between daytime air temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> flux.  $R_2$ : correlation coefficient between daytime PAR and CO<sub>2</sub> flux.  $R_3$ : correlation coefficient between soil temperature at 5 cm depth and CO<sub>2</sub> flux at nighttime. \*.\*\*: significance at p < 0.05 and 0.01, respectively (*t*-test).

soil (Li *et al.*, 2007). At the maturing stage, wheat leaves gradually turned yellow, plant photosynthetic ability decreased, plant and soil respiration were higher than plant photosynthesis ability, and wheat field ecosystems performed  $CO_2$  release each day.  $CO_2$  flux in daytime peaked at about 10:00 h. Similar phenomena have been observed in winter wheat in the Hetao irrigation region, Inner Mongolia (Duan *et al.*, 2005). At about 07:00 and 19:00 h, the flux symbol changed, which may be related to the stability of the atmosphere and condensed water. Based on diurnal  $CO_2$  flux at different growth stages, we conclude that the developmental status of wheat directly affects  $CO_2$  flux.

At the jointing and booting stage, the daily CO<sub>2</sub> budget reached the maximum of -16.32 g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>. In rain-fed wheat fields, soil moisture is the major factor limiting wheat growth because soil moisture affects plant root distribution and regular plant growth (Chen et al., 2003; Lou et al., 2003). At this stage, soil had sufficient water for regular plant growth and the leaf area index was adequately large (data not shown); thus, the carbon sequestration effect was obvious. These results were similar to those of Mo et al. (2003). More attention should be given to the fact that the grain-filling stage is a carbon source because the wheat photosynthesis rate decreased at daytime and temperature increased at nighttime. Consequently, CO<sub>2</sub> release increased (Yuan et al., 2010). With increased temperature at the ripening stage, wheat leaves gradually turned yellow, the wheat leaf area index rapidly decreased, and photosynthesis weakened in the ecological system. At this stage, the ecological system was based on soil respiration.

Photosynthesis is driven by PAR. In this experiment, the net wheat ecosystem  $CO_2$  exchange exhibited light saturation phenomenon because the assimilatory power caused by the photoreaction was more than that needed for CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation. Accordingly, the reactive oxygen increased. If the protection system cannot be cleared in time, the photosynthetic membrane would be damaged, the photosynthetic rate of the wheat ecosystem would decrease, and the whole wheat ecosystem would change from a carbon sink into a carbon source (Huang, 2003). Puckridge & Ratkowsky (1971) as well as Wall & Kanemasu (1990) also found that wheat canopy CO<sub>2</sub> exchange showed light saturation. Baldocchi et al. (1996) studied the wheat and maize ecosystem, and he found a positive relationship between net CO<sub>2</sub> uptake and PAR absorption, in which light saturation did not occur. The aforementioned results are due to the differences in climate, soil, crop varieties, and growth status

at different places (Tong *et al.*, 2011). These results were similar to those of Liu & Ma (1997), who conducted a study in the suburbs of Beijing.

Ecosystem respiration is controlled by environmental and biological factors at nighttime. Temperature is the main environmental factor controlling ecosystem respiration (Li et al., 2004). Many studies have shown that ecosystem respiration exponentially grew with increased temperature (Harley et al., 1992; Hall et al., 1995; Baldocchi et al., 1996). This is because the soil temperature increased with global warming, and then enhanced soil respiration to same extent (Reth et al., 2005). Meanwhile, global warming also strengthen the plant respiration at nighttime (Sun et al., 2005), which also contributed to the high CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the atmosphere. Influenced by soil moisture and other environmental factors, the data in Fig. 6 were scattered. Only 44% of carbon flux change can be explained by nighttime canopy temperature. Despite this result, the relationship between average CO<sub>2</sub> flux and canopy temperature was significant (p < 0.01) at nighttime. The relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> flux and air temperature reached a highly correlated levels at the jointing and booting stages. This result was consistent with Liu & Ma (1997) in the suburbs of Beijing. Given the influence of rainfall, the degree of correlation may be weakened at different growth stages.

Observed values of  $CO_2$  flux in six stations, including wheat and maize ecosystems, are reported in literature. This difference shows that rain-fed wheat field ecological systems had strong carbon-sequestration abilities. The differences in climate, soil, and wheat varieties in different regions mainly caused the differences in carbon sink function among wheat ecosystems (Li, 2002).

Present study shows that temperature, precipitation and PAR have a significant influence on seasonal characteristics of  $CO_2$  fluxes in a rain-fed wheat field ecosystem by using an eddy covariance technique. This high sensitivity of carbon-sequestration responded to wheat ecosystems implies that the vast rain-fed wheat field ecosystems may be critical to regulate future climate change. Systematic studies in this ecosystem are needed for developing and improving relevant prediction models.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project No. 31171506)

and Introducing Talents of Discipline to Chinese Universities (Project No. B12007). It is also supported by Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. We are grateful to the Institute of Soil and Water Conservation, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing experimental site. We also thank anonymous reviewers and the editors for constructive comments on this manuscript.

## References

- Anthoni PM, Freibauer A, Kolle O, Schulze ED, 2004. Winter wheat carbon exchange in Thuringia, Germany. Agr Forest Meteorol 121: 55-67.
- Bai LP, Lin ED, 2003. The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration enrichment and climate change on the agriculture. Chin Eco Agr 11: 132-134.
- Baldocchi D, Valentini R, Running S, Oechel W, Dahlman R, 1996. Strategies for measuring and modeling carbon dioxide and water vapour fluxes over terrestrial ecosystems. Global Change Biol 2: 159-168.
- Chen QS, Li LH, Han XG, Yan ZD, 2003. Effects of water content on soil respiration and the mechanisms. Acta Ecologica Sinica 23: 972-978.
- Duan XN, Wang XK, Feng ZZ, Ouyang ZY, 2005. Study of net ecosystem exchange for seedling stage of spring wheat ecosystem in Hetao irrigation district, Inner Mongolia. Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae 25:166-171.
- Falge E, Baldocchi D, Olson R, Anthoni P, Aubinet M, Bernhofer C, Burba G, Ceulemans R, Clement R, Dolmani H et al., 2001. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. Agr Forest Meteorol 107: 43-69.
- Genthon G, Raynaud D, Lorius C, Jouzel J, Barkov NI, Korotkevich YS, Kotlyakov VM, 1987. Vostok ice core: climatic response to CO<sub>2</sub> and orbital forcing changes over the last climatic cycle. Nature 329: 414-418.
- Gu JC, Zha LS, 2013. Dynamic research on carbon emission of farmland ecological system of the Wan Jiang cite belt. Resour Environ in Yangze Basn 22: 81-87.
- Hall FG, Townshend JR, Engman ET, 1995. Status of remote sensing algorithms for estimation of land surface state parameters. Remote Sens Environ 51: 138-156.
- Harley PC, Thomas RB, Reynolds JF, Strain BR, 1992. Modelling photosynthesis of cotton grown in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Plant Cell Environ 15: 271-282.
- Huang Y, 2003. The carbon and nitrogen exchange in soilatmosphere system from experiments to models. Meteorology Press, Beijing. pp: 28-29.
- Hollinger SE, Bernacchi CJ, Meyers TP, 2005. Carbon budget of mature no-till ecosystem in north central region of the United States. Agr Forest Meteorol 130: 59-69.
- IPCC, 2001. Climate change 2001: The scientific basis. Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge (UK). pp: 695-699.

- Lal R, 1997. Residue management, conservation tillage and soil restoration for mitigating greenhouse effect by CO<sub>2</sub>-enrichment. Soil Till Res 43: 81-107.
- Lal R, Bruce JP, 1999. The potential of world cropland soils to sequester C and mitigate the greenhouse effect. Environ Sci Policy 2: 177-185.
- Li KR, 2002. Land use change and net greenhouse gas emissions and the carbon cycle of terrestrial ecosystems. Meteorological Press, Beijing. pp:57-62.
- Li SG, Asanuma J, Eugster W, Kotani A, Liu JJ, Urano T, Oikawa T, Davaa G, Oyunbaatar D, Sugita M, 2005. Net ecosystem carbon dioxide exchange over grazed steppe in central Mongolia. Glob Change Biol 11: 1941-1955.
- Li SJ, Liu WZ, Atsuhiro T, Tetsuya H, Yoshihiro F, Li Z, Liu ZH, Zhang HX, 2007. The seasonal variation of CO<sub>2</sub> flux in a wheat field of the Loess Plateau. Acta Ecologica Sinica 27: 1988-1992.
- Li ZQ, Yu GR, Wen XF, Zhang LM, Ren CY, Fu YL, 2004. Energy balance closure at ChinaFLUX site. Sci China (Ser. D) 34: 46-56.
- Liang T, Li RP, Wu H, Liu JL, Shi KQ, Zhang BB, Chang S, 2012. Dynamics of carbon dioxide flux in a maize agroecosystem. Meteorol Environ 28: 49-53.
- Lin TB, Wang ZQ, Song XL, Qu YW, Meng ZY, 2008. CO<sub>2</sub> flux and impact factors in winter wheat field ecosystem. Chin Eco Agr 161: 458-1463.
- Liu SH, Ma YM, 1997. The characteristics of  $CO_2$  concentration and flux turbulence fluxes in the near surface layer over the wheat field. Acta Mech Sinica Prc 4:181-199.
- Lou YS, Li ZP, Zhang TL, 2003. Soil CO<sub>2</sub> flux in relation to dissolved organic carbon, soil temperature and moisture in a subtropical arable soil of China. J Environ Sci Chi 15: 715-720.
- Massman WJ, Lee X, 2002. Eddy covariance flux corrections and uncertainties in long term studies of carbon and energy exchanges. Agr Forest Meteorol 113: 121-144.
- Mo XG, Chen D, Lin ZH, Xiang YQ, 2003. Study on energy and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over winter wheat fields with different water treatments. J Chin Eco Agr 11: 77-81.
- Niu S, Wu M, Han Y, Xia J, Li L, Wan S, 2008. Water mediated responses of ecosystem carbon fluxes to climatic change in a temperate steppe. New Phytol 177: 209-219.
- Pacala SW, Hurtt GC, Baker D, Peylin P, Houghton RA, Birdsey RA, Heath L, Sundquist ET, Stallard RF, Ciais P *et al.*, 2001. Consistent land and atmosphere-based US carbon sink estimates. Science 292: 2316-2320.
- Peter MA, Annette F, Olaf K, Ernst DS, 2004. Winter wheat carbon exchange in Thuringia, Germany. Agr Forest Meteorol 121: 55-67.
- Puckridge DW, Ratkowsky DA, 1971. Photosynthesis of wheat under field conditions. IV. The influence of density and leaf area index on the response to radiation. Aus Agr Res 22: 11-20.
- Qi LH, Dang TH, Chen L, 2009. Study on water use efficiency and related physiological characteristics of winter wheat on dryland of Loess Plateau. Chi Agr Sci Bull 25: 113-116.

- Reth S, Reeichstein M, Falge E, 2005. The effect of soil water content, soil temperature, soil pH-value and the root mass on soil  $CO_2$  efflux-A modified model. Plant Soil 268: 21-33.
- Shvaleva A, Lobo-do-Vale R, Cruz C, Castaldi S, Rosa AP, Chaves MM, 2011. Soil-atmosphere greenhouse gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) exchange in evergreen oak woodland in southern Portugal. Plant Soil Environ 57: 471-477.
- Sun WJ, Huang Y, Chen ST, Zou JW, Zheng XH, 2005. Quantitative relationship of wheat and rice respiration with tissue nitrogen, biomass and temperature. Acta Ecologica Sinica 25: 1152-1158.
- Tong XJ, Li J, Liu D, 2011. Characteristics and controlling factors of photosynthesis in a maize ecosystem on the north China Plain. Acta Ecologica Sinica 31: 4889-4899.
- Verma SB, Dobermann A, Cassman K, Walters DT, Knops JM, Arkebauer TJ, Suyker AE, Burba GG, Amos B, Yang HS, Ginting D, Hubbard KG, Gitelson AA, 2005. Annual carbon dioxide exchange in irrigated and rainfed maizebased agroecosystems. Agr Meteorol 131: 77-96.
- Wall GW, Kanemasu ET, 1990. Carbon dioxide exchange rates in wheat canopies, Part 1. Influence of canopy geometry on trends in leaf area index, light interception and instantaneous exchange rates. Agr Forest Meteorol 49: 81-102.
- Wang J, Feng YZ, Yang GH, Chen B, 2010. Temporospatial variation analysis of carbon source/sink of farm land eco-

system in Shanxi. J Northwest A&F University (Nat Sci Ed) 30: 196-200.

- Wang XK, Li CS, Ouyang ZY, 2003. Greenhouse gases emission and crop production. J Ecol Environ 12: 379-383.
- Wang ZF, Fan ZX, Zhang FY, Wang WM, Chen JA, Yan SL, Zhou F, 2003. Physiological effects of chicken manure on yield-forming of winter wheat. Acta Agr Nucleatae Sinica 17: 379-382.
- Webb EK, Pearman GI, Leuning R, 1980. Correction of flux measurement for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. J Roy Meteorol Soc 106: 85-100.
- Wilczak JM, Oncley SP, Stage SA, 2001. Sonic anemometer tilted correction algorithms. Bound Lay Meteorol 99: 127-150.
- Ye F, Yan P, Qian K, Chen C, Liu HJ, 2012. The farmland carbon balance characteristics and its influencing factors of the Huaihe river basin. J Ecol Environ 21: 49-54.
- Yuan ZJ, Shen YJ, Chu YM, Qi YQ, 2010. Characteristics and simulation of heat and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes over a typical cropland during the winter wheat growing in the north China plain. Environ Sci 31: 41-48.
- Zhan XY, Yu GR, Zheng ZM, Wang QF, 2012. Carbon emission and spatial pattern of soil respiration of terrestrial ecosystems in China: based on geostatistic estimation of flux measurement. Prog Geog 31: 97-10.